



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

JA

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/972,342	10/06/2001	Eric C. Miller	M-11777 US	4511
28765	7590	05/04/2006	EXAMINER	
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 1700 K STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20006			TRAN, CON P	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2615	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/972,342	MILLER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Con P. Tran	2615

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 September 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                            2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 28-45 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 28-45 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/03/02</u> .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. **Claims 28-35, 37, and 39** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sygnator U.S. Patent 3,807,526 in view of Green et al. U.S. Patent 6,176,576 (hereinafter, "Green").

Regarding **claim 28**, Sygnator teaches ear protector having an earstem for an eyeglasses (see Figs. 3, 4, and respective portions of the specification; col. 1, lines 24-39) comprising:

a temple portion (10, Figs. 3, 4)

a pad support portion (arm 14a, Figs. 3, 4) for supporting a pad mount (outer part of pad 20), the pad support being adapted and configured so that the position of the pad mount (part of pad 20) can be adjusted by a wearer along two axes (two branches of end portion 15a which is divided by slot 18; col. 2, lines 41-59) to be over at least a portion of the wearer's concha (see Figs. 3, 4; col. 2, lines 1-17), wherein

the two axes (15a) are inclined with respect to each other and parallel to the wearer's external ear (see Figs. 3,4), and

a pad (20, Fig. 3, 4), wherein the joined temple (10), pad support (arm 14a), and pad (20) are adapted and configured to support the pad mount (outer part of pad 20) away from a wearer's outer ear (see Figs. 3,4) with a gap (created by inner end portion 15a since pad overlies larger area of concha, see Fig. 4) sufficient to permit the wearer to hear environmental sounds without significant obstruction.

Sygnator does not explicitly disclose the ear protector being capable used in a communication system. However, modification an ear protector such that to operate as a communication system is well known in the audio communication art.

Green discloses eyewear including variations of earpiece and earplug (see Fig. 42-48; col. 21, lines 50-57) without plugging the ear canal (col. 22, lines 12-19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated an eyewear including earpieces of Green teaching with an ear protector having an earstem for an eyeglasses of Sygnator in order to allow for comfort adjustment, as suggested by Green in column 24, lines 2-3.

Regarding **claim 29**, Sygnator in view of Green teaches the earstem of claim 28. Sygnator, as modified, further teaches wherein the speaker support portion further comprises:

a "U"-shaped loop in the earstem (see 14a, Fig. 4), the "U"-shaped loop slidably retaining the speaker mount between the "legs" of the loop for motion along the

axis of the loop (two branches of end portion 15a which is divided by slot 18, Fig. 4; col. 2, lines 41-59),

wherein the "U"-shaped loop is inclined downward and rearward over a wearer's ear to permit motion of the speaker mount over at least a portion of the wearer's concha (see Figs. 3, 4; col. 2, lines 41-58).

Regarding **claim 30**, Sygnator in view of Green teaches the earstem of claim 29. Green, as modified, further teaches wherein the speaker mount further comprises:

a rotatably mounted speaker with an axis of rotation eccentric with respect to the speaker mount (i.e., off-axis, see Figs 59-61; col. 23, lines 10-25),

wherein rotation of the rotatably mounted speaker causes the speaker to move in an approximately vertical direction (see Figs. 59-61; col. 23, lines 10-25).

Regarding **claim 31**, Sygnator in view of Green teaches the earstem of claim 30. Green, as modified, further teaches wherein the speaker is arranged and positioned over the intertragic notch of the wearer's ear (i.e., fit within concha; see Figs. 61, 64, 65; col. 25, lines 31-45).

Regarding **claim 32**, Sygnator in view of Green teaches the earstem of claim 28. Sygnator, as modified, further teaches wherein the speaker support portion comprises: a linear member on which the speaker mount is slidably retained for motion along the linear member (15a, Fig. 4)

wherein the linear member projects downward and rearward from the earstem to over a wearer's ear to permit motion of the speaker mount over at least a portion of the wearer's concha (see Figs. 3, 4; col. 2, lines 41-58).

Regarding **claim 33**, Sygnator in view of Green teaches the earstem of claim 28. Green, as modified, teaches further comprising a microphone mount (see Fig. 18; col. 12, lines 15-21; col. 18, lines, 19-30).

Regarding **claim 34**, Sygnator in view of Green teaches the earstem of claim 33. Green, as modified, teaches wherein the microphone mount is carried by the temporal portion (88, Figs. 5, 11, 18; 12, lines 15-21; col. 18, lines, 19-30).

Regarding **claim 35**, Sygnator in view of Green teaches the earstem of claim 33. Green, as modified, teaches wherein the microphone mount comprises:  
a cavity (recess 2.248, Fig. 17, 18) within the earstem for retaining a microphone (2.220 Fig. 17; retract, Fig. 18; col. 18, lines, 19-30), and  
a first port inclined downward and forward for permitting entry of a wearer's voice into the cavity (opening for receiving voice; see Figs. 17, 18).

Regarding **claims 37 and 39**, Claims 37 and 39 are also met in view of above discussion of claim 28 since Sygnator further discloses wearer unit such as cellular

telephone coupled to the speaker and the microphone via junction connector 2.234, Fig. 16; col. 17, line 58 – col. 18, line 2).

3. **Claim 36** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sygnator U.S. Patent 3,807,526 in view of Green et al. U.S. Patent 6,176,576 (hereinafter, "Green"), and further in view of Cragg et al. U.S. Patent 3,781,492 (hereinafter, "Cragg").

Regarding **claim 36**, Sygnator in view of Green teaches the earstem of claim 33. However, Sygnator in view of Green does not explicitly disclose wherein the microphone mount further comprises:

a second port inclined upward and rearward for permitting entry of environmental sounds into the cavity, and  
a gradient microphone retained within the cavity.

Cragg discloses a headset having gradient microphone (13, Figs. 1, 7) in which two sets of apertures (325, 316; Fig. 1) responsive to the pressure gradient between the two sets of apertures and the transducer element (33, Fig. 7) is driven by the pressure difference between its two faces (col. 5, lines 45-55).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated an gradient microphone of Cragg teaching with communication system Sygnator in view of Green such that to obtain a gradient

microphone and a second port as claimed for purpose of providing a smooth response over the whole speech band, as suggested by Cragg in column 5, lines 64-65.

4. **Claims 38, and 40-45** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sygnator U.S. Patent 3,807,526 in view of Green et al. U.S. Patent 6,176,576 (hereinafter, "Green"), and further in view of Spitzer U.S. Patent 6,091,546 (cited by Applicants).

Regarding **claim 38**, Sygnator in view of Green teaches the earstem of claim 37. However, Sygnator in view of Green does not explicitly disclose wherein the wearer unit comprises a personal digital assistant.

Spitzer further teaches wherein the wearer unit comprises a personal digital assistant (Palm pilot; col. 4, lines 38-41).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated a personal digital assistant of Spitzer teaching with communication system Sygnator in view of Green such that the wearer unit comprises a personal digital assistant for purpose of allowing electronically relayed information, as suggested by Spitzer in column 3, lines 17-18.

Regarding **claim 40**, Spitzer further teaches wherein wherein the wearer unit comprises a personal wireless unit for locally and wirelessly relaying signals to and from the speaker and microphone (RF, col. 10, lines 36-48).

Regarding **claim 41**, Claim 41 is also met in view of above discussion of claims 37 and 40 since Spitzer, as modified, further discloses video conferencing system with local RF (see col. 11, lines 42-57)

Regarding **claim 42**, Spitzer, as modified, further discloses:

an interface to an equipment (video conferencing system; see col. 11, lines 42-57), and

a programmable device (voice recognition, col. 10, lines 5-16) executing one or more processes that translates between voice signals exchanged with at least one of the eyeglasses and equipment signals exchanged with an equipment through the equipment interface, wherein information can be exchanged between a wearer of the eyeglasses and an interfaced equipment (for video conferencing system; see col. 11, lines 42-57).

**Claim 43** is also met (see Spitzer, video conferencing system; see col. 11, lines 42-57).

**Claim 44** is also met (for surgeon; see Spitzer, col. 10, 36-48).

**Claim 45** is also met (hospital intensive care units; see Spitzer, col. 11, 64-67).

5. **NOTE:** The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2615.

***Conclusion***

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Con P. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7532. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (8:30 AM - 5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Vivian C. Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cpt CPJ  
May 1, 2006

  
VIVIAN CHIN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600